	Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG	Document1	Filed10/10/14	Page1 of 10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		No. 202284) No. 208393) LLP .com .com) DISTRICT COU ICT OF CALIFO	
11				
12	ENPHASE ENERGY, INC.,		Case No. 5:14-c	v-04553
13	a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff,		COMPLAINT I INFRINGEME	
14				
15	V.		DEMAND FOR	AJURY I KIAL
16	SOLARBRIDGE TECHNOLOGI INC., a Dalawara corporation	IES,		
17	a Delaware corporation, Defendant.			
18	Derendant.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 Morgan, Lewis &				T EOD DATENT INEDINCEMENT.
BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO			COMPLAIN	T FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT; CASE NO.: 5:14-CV-05443

	Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG Document1 Filed10/10/14 Page2 of 10
1	Plaintiff ENPHASE ENERGY, INC. ("Enphase") alleges as follows:
2	PARTIES
3	1. Plaintiff Enphase is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at
4	1420 N. McDowell Boulevard, Petaluma, CA 94954-6515. Enphase is qualified and duly
5	authorized to conduct business in the State of California.
6	2. On information and belief, Defendant SolarBridge Technologies, Inc.
7	("SolarBridge") is a Delaware corporation doing business in this judicial district, and has a
8	principal place of business at 9229 Waterford Centre Boulevard, Suite 110, Austin, TX 78758.
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
10	3. This is a civil action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C.
11	§§ 1 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to
12	28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a).
13	4. Personal jurisdiction as to SolarBridge is proper in the State of California and in
14	this judicial district. On information and belief, SolarBridge maintains regular and ongoing
15	business activity in this State and in this judicial district, at least through its commercial
16	relationship and sales transactions with customers in this judicial district, and therefore has
17	sufficient contacts with the State of California to satisfy the requirements of due process and Rule
18	4(k)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On information and belief, in July 2014,
19	SolarBridge provided training and product briefing at a solar industry conference, Intersolar
20	North America 2014, which took place in San Francisco, California. SolarBridge offered to sell
21	microinverters for use with solar panels, and on information and belief, has sold microinverters to
22	the public in Northern California. In addition, on further information and belief, SolarBridge
23	imported or imports microinverters into the United States. Defendants have purposefully availed
24	themselves of jurisdiction by committing and continuing to commit acts of patent infringement in
25	this Judicial District, the State of California, and elsewhere in the United States.
26	5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and
27	1400(b).
28	

Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG	Document1	Filed10/10/14	Page3 of 10
-----------------------	-----------	---------------	-------------

1	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
2	6. Under Civil L. R. 3-2(c), this action shall be assigned on a district-wide basis,			
3	notwithstanding the fact that a substantial part of the events that give rise to the claims alleged			
4	herein occurred in this judicial district.			
5	PATENTS-IN-SUIT			
6	7. Enphase is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 8,035,257 (the "257 patent"), issued			
7	on October 11, 2011, titled "Method and Apparatus for Improved Burst Mode During Power			
8	Conversion." A true and correct copy of the '257 patent is attached as Exhibit A.			
9	8. Enphase is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,986,122 (the "122 patent"), issued			
10	on July 26, 2011, titled "Method and Apparatus for Power Conversion with Maximum Power			
11	Point Tracking and Burst Mode Capability." A true and correct copy of the '122 patent is			
12	attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B.			
13	9. Enphase is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,768,155 (the "155 patent"), issued			
14	on August 3, 2010, titled "Method and Apparatus for Improved Burst Mode During Power			
15	Conversion." A true and correct copy of the '155 patent is attached to this Complaint as			
16	Exhibit C.			
17	10. Enphase is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 5,951,785 C1 (the "785 patent"),			
18	reexamination certificate issued on November 16, 2012, titled "Photo-Voltaic Apparatus." The			
19	'785 patent was assigned to Enphase on July 23, 2014. A true and correct copy of the '785 patent			
20	is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit D.			
21	11. The '257 patent, '155 patent, '122 patent, and the '785 patent are referred to			
22	collectively herein as the "Patents-In-Suit."			
23	ENPHASE'S SOLAR POWER CONVERSION TECHNOLOGY			
24	12. Solar panels, or photovoltaic ("PV") modules, convert energy received from			
25	sunlight into direct current ("DC") electricity. Inverters play a crucial role in any solar energy			
26	system by converting DC current into grid-compliant alternating current ("AC"), the standard			
27	used by all commercial appliances, for use by consumers or for feeding back into the utility grid			
28	for use by others.			
IC 8-				

Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG Document1 Filed10/10/14 Page4 of 10

Traditionally, solar panels are connected together in a series of circuits fed into a
 single, central inverter device that converts DC electricity generated by tens, hundreds or even
 thousands of solar panels as if they are one unit. Smaller "microinverters," dedicated to each
 solar panel, are designed to improve the efficiency of the electricity generation of each panel and
 are intended to replace these large central inverters.

14. Enphase is the market leader in microinverters and was the first company to 6 commercially ship microinverter systems in volume. By leveraging its design expertise across 7 power electronics, semiconductors, networking and embedded and web-based software 8 technologies, Enphase built from the ground up a semiconductor-based microinverter system 9 which brings a system-based, high technology approach to solar energy generation. The Enphase 10 microinverter system delivers efficient and reliable power conversion at the individual solar panel 11 level. The Enphase microinverter system uses proprietary digital architecture that incorporates 12 custom application specific integrated circuits, or ASICs, specialized power electronics devices, 13 and an embedded software subsystem that optimizes energy production from each panel and 14 manages the core ASIC functions. The Patents-In-Suit generally relate to methods and systems 15 for converting DC power generated by the solar panel into grid-compliant AC power. One aspect 16 of the claimed technology improves the operation of PV modules, for example, by controlling the 17 burst modes that permit them to operate efficiently even in lower sunlight conditions. Another 18 aspect of the claimed technology improves microinverter performance, for example, by providing 19 space between the microinverter and the solar panel for air to flow. 20

21

THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

15. The Accused Products include at least the SolarBridge Pantheon[™] Microinverter
(Part Nos. P235LV-240 and P235HV-240), and the SolarBridge TrueAC[™] Module (Part Nos.
SBT250-NA240-A311 and SBT250-NA240-A111). The SolarBridge Pantheon Microinverter is
a plug-and-play module which receives DC power from a PV module, processes the power, and
outputs AC power that is phase locked to the AC power of the electric utility power grid. The
SolarBridge TrueAC Module is a PV module with integrated Pantheon Microinverter and AC
cabling. The Accused Products include the claimed features of the Patents-In-Suit, including but

2

Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG Document1 Filed10/10/14 Page5 of 10

		1			
1	not limited to: (i) a "burst mode" that employs "maximum power point tracking," to obtain				
2	efficient power conversion, and (ii) a physical layout of the microinverter with respect to an				
3	associated PV module that permits air flow there between.				
4	16. Upon information and belief, SolarBridge sells the Accused Products to at least				
5	one customer located within this judicial district.				
6	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
7	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,035,257)				
8	17. Enphase realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in				
9	paragraphs 1 through 16 of this Complaint.				
10	18. SolarBridge, by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be				
11	manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of the Accused Products that are				
12	covered by one or more of the claims of the '257 patent, has committed acts of direct				
13	infringement of one or more claims of the '257 patent. These acts constitute violations of 35				
14	U.S.C. § 271.				
15	19. SolarBridge has committed acts of contributory and/or inducement of infringement				
16	of one or more claims of the '257 patent by selling, supporting, and/or encouraging infringing				
17	methods of use of the Accused Products to third parties, including but not limited to customers				
18	and end-users. Such microinverters are not staple articles or commodities suitable for non-				
19	9 infringing uses. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. § 271.				
20	20. On information and belief, SolarBridge's infringement of the '257 patent has been				
21	willful and deliberate. SolarBridge is very familiar with Enphase and its revolutionary				
22	microinverter technology and, on information and belief, has knowledge of the '257 patent.				
23	Despite this knowledge, SolarBridge has continued to directly and indirectly infringe one or more				
24	claims of the '257 patent, entitling Enphase to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to				
25	attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.				
26	21. Such infringement has injured and damaged Enphase. Unless enjoined by this				
27	Court, SolarBridge will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring Enphase.				
28	22. As a direct and proximate result of SolarBridge's infringement of the '257 patent,				
5 & w	3 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT; CASE NO.: 5:14-CV-05443				

Enphase has been damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

2 3

1

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,986,122)

4 23. Enphase realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in
5 paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint.

6 24. SolarBridge, by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be
7 manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of the Accused Products that are
8 covered by one or more of the claims of the '122 patent, has committed acts of direct
9 infringement of one or more claims of the '122 patent. These acts constitute violations of 35
10 U.S.C. § 271.

SolarBridge has committed acts of contributory and/or inducement of infringement
of one or more claims of the '122 patent by selling, supporting, and/or encouraging the infringing
use of the Accused Products to third parties. Such microinverters are not staple articles or
commodities suitable for non-infringing uses. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. §
271.

26. On information and belief, SolarBridge's infringement of the '122 patent is, has 16 been, and continues to be willful and deliberate. SolarBridge is very familiar with Enphase and 17 its revolutionary microinverter technology and, on information and belief, has knowledge of the 18 '122 patent. Despite this knowledge, SolarBridge has continued to directly and indirectly infringe 19 one or more claims of the '122 patent, entitling Enphase to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 20 284 and to attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285. 21 27. Such infringement has injured and damaged Enphase. Unless enjoined by this 22 Court, SolarBridge will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring Enphase. 23

24 28. As a direct and proximate result of SolarBridge's infringement of the '122 patent,
25 Enphase has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

4

<u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,768,155)

Enphase realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in

26 27

28

29.

Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG Document1 Filed10/10/14 Page7 of 10

paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint.

1

30. SolarBridge, by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be
manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of the Accused Products that are
covered by one or more of the claims of the '155 patent, has committed acts of direct
infringement of one or more claims of the '155 patent. These acts constitute violations of 35
U.S.C. § 271.

31. SolarBridge has committed acts of contributory and/or inducement of infringement
of one or more claims of the '155 patent by selling, supporting, and/or encouraging the infringing
use of the Accused Products to third parties. Such microinverters are not staple articles or
commodities suitable for non-infringing uses. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. §
271.

32. On information and belief, SolarBridge's infringement of the '155 patent is, has 12 been, and continues to be willful and deliberate. SolarBridge is very familiar with Enphase and 13 its revolutionary microinverter technology and, on information and belief, has knowledge of the 14 '155 patent. Despite this knowledge, SolarBridge has continued to directly and indirectly infringe 15 one or more claims of the '155 patent, entitling Enphase to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 16 284 and to attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285. 17 33. Such infringement has injured and damaged Enphase. Unless enjoined by this 18 Court, SolarBridge will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring Enphase. 19 34. As a direct and proximate result of SolarBridge's infringement of the '155 patent, 20 Enphase has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined. 21 FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 22 (Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,951,785 C1) 23 35. Enphase realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in 24 paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint. 25 36. SolarBridge, by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be 26

manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of the Accused Products that are
 covered by one or more of the claims of the '785 patent, has committed acts of direct

Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG Document1 Filed10/10/14 Page8 of 10

infringement of one or more claims of the '785 patent. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

3 37. SolarBridge has committed acts of contributory and/or inducement of infringement
of one or more claims of the '785 patent by selling, supporting, and/or encouraging the infringing
use of the Accused Products to third parties. Such microinverters are not staple articles or
commodities suitable for non-infringing uses. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. §
271.

38. On information and belief, SolarBridge's infringement of the '785 patent is, has 8 been, and continues to be willful and deliberate. SolarBridge is very familiar with Enphase and 9 its revolutionary microinverter technology and, on information and belief, has knowledge of the 10 '785 patent. Despite this knowledge, SolarBridge has continued to directly and indirectly infringe 11 one or more claims of the '785 patent, entitling Enphase to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 12 284 and to attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285. 13 39. Such infringement has injured and damaged Enphase. Unless enjoined by this 14 Court, SolarBridge will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring Enphase. 15

40. As a direct and proximate result of SolarBridge's infringement of the '785 patent,
Enphase has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Enphase prays that this Court enter judgment as follows:

(A) Adjudicating and declaring that SolarBridge has infringed, actively induced infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed the Patents-In-Suit;

(B) Preliminarily and permanently enjoining SolarBridge, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with it from further infringement of the Patents-In-Suit or, to the extent not so enjoined, ordering SolarBridge to pay compulsory ongoing royalties for any continuing infringement of the Patents-In-Suit;

(C) Ordering that SolarBridge account, and pay actual damages (but no less than a reasonable royalty), to Enphase for SolarBridge's infringement of the Patents-in-

1

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Case5:1	.4-cv-04553-PSG	Document1	Filed10/10/14 Page9 of 10
1		Suit;		
2	(D)	Declaring that Sol	larBridge willfu	ally infringed one or more of the Patents-in-Suit
3		and ordering that	SolarBridge pa	y treble damages to Enphase as provided by 35
4		U.S.C. § 284;		
5	(E)	Ordering that Sola	arBridge pay Er	nphase's costs, expenses, and interest, including
6		prejudgment inter	est, as provided	l for by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
7	(F)	Declaring that this	s is an exception	nal case and awarding Enphase its attorneys' fees
8		and expenses as p	rovided for by a	35 U.S.C. § 285;
9	(G)	Granting Enphase	such other and	further relief as the Court deems just and
10		appropriate, or that	at Enphase may	be entitled to as a matter of law or equity.
11				Respectfully submitted,
12	Dated: Octob	er 10, 2014		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
13				
14				By <u>/s/ Michael J. Lyons</u>
15				Michael J. Lyons Attorneys for Plaintiff
16				Enphase Energy, Inc.
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto			7	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT; CASE NO.: 5:14-CV-05443

	Case5:14-cv-04553-PSG	Document1	Filed10/10/14	Page10 of 10
1		DEMAND FO	<u>)R JURY TRIAI</u>	_
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Enphase hereby requests a trial by jury.			
3			Respectfully su	ıbmitted,
4	Dated: October 10, 2014		MORGAN, LE	EWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
5				
6			By <u>/s/ Michae</u>	l J. Lyons
7			Michael J. Attorneys f	Lyons For Plaintiff nergy, Inc.
8			Enphase Ei	nergy, Inc.
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26 27				
27				
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto		8	COMPLA	INT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT; CASE NO.: 5:14-CV-05443